

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary		Application No. 09/744,675	Applicant(s) SQUIRES ET AL.
Examiner Carla Myers		Art Unit 1634	

All Participants:

(1) Carla Myers.

(2) Cindee Ewell.

Date of Interview: 26 January 2010

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Status of Application: 121

(3) Ryan Christensen.

(4) _____

Time: 6:15

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Carla Myers/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1634

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Discussed amending claim 1 to refer to "live equine sperm cells" rather than "viable equine sperm cells." The examiner pointed to page 19 of the Brief wherein it discusses the distinction between viable sperm cells and live sperm cells. Pages 36-37 of the specification provide support for this amendment. Applicant's representative authorized the attached examiner's amendment.